

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/198,067	11/28/1998	MICHAEL PRINCE	1400.9800940	2061
25697 75	25697 7590 01/15/2004		EXAMINER	
ROSS D. SNYDER & ASSOCIATES, INC. 115 WILD BASIN RD. SUITE 107			NGUYEN, STEVEN H D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78746			2665	/8
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

92.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/198,067	PRINCE ET AL.
**	Examiner	Art Unit
v	Steven HD Nguyen	2665
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avairal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appead Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and of the same of	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelligible, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelligible, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>11,12,20 and</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	21 would be allowable if submitt	ed in a separate, timely filed
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· / /—	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>11,12,20 and 21</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to: 2-4 and 14-16.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5-10,13 and 17-19</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	—· A
10. ☐ Other:		Steven HD Nguyen
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2665





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Han discloses the claimed invention as stated in the final office action.